## **COVER SHEET**

	6 6 0 9				
	S.E.C. Registration Number				
P A X Y S ,					
(Company's Full Name)					
1 5 T H F L O O R , 6 7 5 0 A	Y A L A O F F I C E				
TOWER, AYALA AVENU	E, MAKATI				
CITY					
(Business Address, No. Street City/Tov	wn/Province)				
JENNIFER G. APOLONIO	8 2 5 0 3 8 0 0				
Contact Person					
Contact Person	Company Telephone Number				
1 2 3 1 Certification of Indepen	dent Director 0 5 0 7				
Month Day FORM TYPE	Month Day				
	1				
Fiscal Year	Annual Meeting				
Secondary License Type, If Applic	cable				
Dept. Requiring this Doc.	Amended Articles Number/Section				
Total No. of Stockholders Do	mestic Foreign				
Total No. of Glockholders	. orangi.				
To be accomplished by SEC Personnel concerned					
File Number LCU					
Document I.D. Cashier					
E					
STAMPS					
1					
<u>l</u>					
Remarks = pls. Use black ink for scanning purposes					

## CERTIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT DIRECTOR

I, GEORGE EDWIN Y. SYCIP, American, of legal age and a resident of after having been duly sworn to in accordance with law, do hereby declare:

- 1. I am one of the nominees as Independent Director of Paxys, Inc. (hereinafter, the "Company") for the year 2025. I have been one of its independent directors since May 2004 to December 2020, and have been reappointed as such for years 2021 to 2024 as approved by the stockholders during the annual stockholders' meeting in compliance with the Company's By-Laws and Manual on Corporate Governance.
- 2. I am affiliated with the following companies and organizations (including Government-Owned and Controlled Corporations):

Company/ Organization	Position/Relationship	Period of Service	
Asian Alliance Holdings and Development	Director	November 1995 to present	
Corp.			
Cityland Development Corporation	Director	December 2017 to present	

- 3. I possess all the qualifications and none of the disqualifications to serve as an Independent Director of Paxys, Inc., as provided for in Section 38 of the Securities Regulation Code and its Implementing Rules and Regulations and other SEC issuances.
- 4. I am not related to any director, officer or substantial shareholder of Paxys, Inc. and its subsidiaries and affiliates other than the relationship provided under Rule 38.2.3 of the Implementing Rules of the Securities Regulation Code (Republic Act 8799).
- 5. I disclose that I am the subject of the following criminal/administrative investigation or proceeding:

OFFENS	OFFENSE CHARGED/ TRIE		RIBUNAL OR AGENCY		STATUS		
INVE	ESTIGA	TED		INVOLVED			
PLEASE	SEE	ATTACI	HED	<b>INFORMATION</b>	ON	<b>PENDING</b>	LEGAL
PROCEEI	DINGS						

- 6. I am neither an officer nor an employee of any government agency or governmentowned and controlled corporation.
- 7. I shall faithfully and diligently comply with my duties and responsibilities as independent director under the Securities Regulation Code and its Implementing Rules and Regulations, Code of Corporate Governance and other SEC issuances.
- 8. I shall inform the Corporate Secretary of Paxys, Inc. of any changes in the abovementioned information within five (5) days from its occurrence.

I	OONE this_	April 7, 2025	at	0000000	USA	<del></del>	
				GE	Jen T CORGE ED	WIN Y. SY	CIP
affiant e	xhibiting to	D AND SWOR me his Passpor U.S.A. which exp	t No. 🗨	ssued on	a		the US
Doc. No. Page No. Book No.	;						

Offense Charged/Investigated	Tribunal/Agency Involved	Status		
Alleged violation of Sections 74 and 75 in relation to Section 144 of the Corporation Code (or alleged violation of the right to inspect) – preliminary investigation	Office the Secretary – Department of Justice (OSEC-PR-DTF-2- 010916-001; NPS Docket Nos. XVI-INV- 15B-00033 to 00034, titled Harvest All Investment Limited, et al. v. Annsley B. Bangkas, et al./Harvest All Investment Limited, et al. v. George SyCip, et al.)	The Department of Justice (" <b>DOJ</b> ") reversed the dismissal of the cases by the DOJ Prosecution Staff even though there was a finding that the directors, including, Mr. SyCip, had not issued a board resolution that expressly denied the inspection request. Mr. SyCip's <i>Motion for Reconsideration</i> of the DOJ <i>Resolution</i> is pending to date.		
Alleged violation of Sections 74 and 75 in relation to Section 144 of the Corporation Code (or alleged violation of the right to inspect) – filed in court	Metropolitan Trial Court of Pasig, Branch 71 and Office of the City Prosecutor of Pasig (Criminal Case Nos. M-PSG-18-00148-CR to 00149-CR, titled People of the Philippines v. Annsley B. Bangkas, et al.)	This is an offshoot of the case above (e.g., NPS Docket Nos. XVI-INV-15B-00033 to 00034). The case was initially raffled to the Metropolitan Trial Court of Pasig, Branch 71, which issued and <i>Order</i> finding probable cause against Mr. SyCip and his co-accused for the issuance of a warrant of arrest. The <i>Order</i> is the subject of the of a <i>Petition for Certiorari</i> pending with the Regional Trial Court of Pasig.		
		The Metropolitan Trial Court of Pasig, Branch 71 eventually issued a <i>Resolution</i> dated November 11, 2020 ("November 11, Resolution") dismissing the case for lack of jurisdiction without prejudice to its refiling with the proper Regional Trial Court. The motion for reconsideration filed by the prosecution was denied by the court through a <i>Resolution</i> dated February 22, 2021.		
		The Office of the City Prosecutor of Pasig has issued a Resolution dated May 27, 2021 recommending the refiling of the <i>Information</i> with the Regional Trial Court of Pasig.		
Alleged violation of Sections 74 and 75 in relation to Section 144 of the Corporation Code (or violation of the right to	Department of Justice – Office of the Secretary of Justice (NPS Docket No. XVI-INV-15B-00053, titled Hedy S.C. Yap-Chua v. Jonathan Y. Dee, et al.)	The Office of the Prosecutor General of the DOJ, through a Review Resolution dated March 20, 2018 ("March 20 Resolution") ruled in favor of the complainant even though the inspection request was granted by the board of		

	T	
inspect) – preliminary investigation		directors, including Mr. SyCip. Mr. SyCip's <i>Petition for Review</i> of the March 20 Resolution, as well as Petitions filed by some of my corespondents, are currently pending with the Secretary of Justice.
Alleged violation of Sections 74 and 75 in relation to Section 144 of the Corporation Code (or alleged violation of the right to inspect) – filed in court	Regional Trial Court of Pasig, Branch 157 (Criminal Case Nos. R-PSG-21-01844-CR to 01845-CR, titled People of the Philippines v. Jonathan Y. Dee, et al.)	This is an offshoot of the case above (e.g., NPS Docket No. XVI-INV-15B-00053). The case was initially raffled to the Metropolitan Trial Court of Pasig, Branch 70, which eventually issued an Order dated March 6, 2020 ("March 6 Order") dismissing the case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. A motion for reconsideration of the March 6 Order was filed by the prosecution and was denied by the court through a <i>Resolution</i> dated November 12, 2020.  The Office of the City Prosecutor of Pasig refiled the <i>Information</i> with the Regional Trial Court of Pasig and the case was raffled to Branch 157.
Alleged violation of Presidential Decree No. 1689, in relation to Article 315(2)(a) of the Revised Penal Code (syndicated estafa) and Article 171(1) of the Revised Penal Code (falsification of public document) – preliminary investigation	Office the Secretary – Department of Justice (NPS Docket Nos. XV- 07-INV-16B-01028 & XV-07-INV-16D-01843, titled Victory Fund Limited, et al. v. Jonathan Y. Dee, et al./Jonathan Y. Dee, et al. v. Hedy S.C. Yap- Chua)	The Office of the City Prosecutor – Manila dismissed both Complaints. The complainants' Appeal to the DOJ was also denied. Through a Resolution dated March 27, 2018 ("March 27 Resolution"), the DOJ partially granted the complainants' motion for reconsideration by finding probable cause for simple estafa against Mr. SyCip and some co-respondents; the DOJ affirmed its ruling dismissing the syndicated estafa and falsification of public document charges. Several respondents, including Mr. SyCip, have filed Motions for Reconsideration of the March 27 Resolution, which are pending.  One of the respondents filed a Petition for Certiorari with the Court of Appeals to challenge the March 27 Resolution.
Alleged violation of Article 315(2)(a) of the Revised Penal Code ( <i>estafa</i> ) – filed in court	Regional Trial Court of Makati, Branch 143 (Criminal Case Nos. R- MKT-19-01308, titled People of the Philippines v. Jonathan Dee, et al.)	This is an offshoot of the case above (e.g., NPS Docket Nos. XV-07-INV-16B-01028 & XV-07-INV-16D-01843). The prosecution filed the <i>Information</i> in court after the DOJ, in its March 27 Resolution, found probable cause to charge Mr.

SyCip and other co-respondents with simple <i>estafa</i> .
Though the court has not acquired personal jurisdiction over Mr. SyCip, it is sending notices to his counsel of record in the preliminary investigation for the schedule of the prosecution's evidence presentation.

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California ) County of San Francisco )

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on April 7, 2025 by \* \* \*George Edwin Sycip \* \* , proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) appeared before me.



Alexandra E. Liganag, Notary Public